

THE UNSTEADY FLOW OF A WEAKLY COMPRESSIBLE FLUID IN A THIN POROUS LAYER I: TWO-DIMENSIONAL THEORY

D J NEEDHAM*[†] S LANGDON[‡]§ G S BUSSWELL[¶]|| AND P J GILCHRIST[¶]**

Abstract. We consider the problem of determining the pressure and velocity fields for a weakly compressible fluid flowing in a two-dimensional reservoir in an inhomogeneous, anisotropic porous medium, with vertical side walls and variable upper and lower boundaries, in the presence of vertical wells injecting or extracting fluid. Numerical solution of this problem may be expensive, particularly in the case that the depth scale of the layer h is small compared to the horizontal length scale l . This is a situation which occurs frequently in the application to oil reservoir recovery. Under the assumption that $\epsilon = h/l \ll 1$, we show that the pressure field varies only in the horizontal direction away from the wells (the outer region). We construct two-term asymptotic expansions in ϵ in both the inner (near the wells) and outer regions, and use the asymptotic matching principle to derive analytical expressions for all significant process quantities. This approach, via the method of matched asymptotic expansions, takes advantage of the small aspect ratio of the reservoir, ϵ , at precisely the stage where full numerical computations become stiff, and also reveals the detailed structure of the dynamics of the flow, both in the neighbourhood of wells, and away from wells.

1. Introduction. It is standard practice in the oil and gas industry to use reservoir simulators based on numerical methods such as the finite difference or finite element techniques. This kind of approach has been shown to be enormously successful over the years in modelling a wide variety of physical processes in the reservoir e.g. faults, rock layering effects, complex fluid phase behaviour, etc.. While reservoir simulators of this type will continue to play a crucial role in the industry it is well known that to use them takes considerable expertise and time. Because of the numerical nature of the modelling process, gridding, time-stepping and convergence issues require care and attention. Long execution times are often necessary for certain types of problems e.g. hydraulically fractured wells.

Analytic techniques, for the reasons outlined, can therefore play a valuable role in the industry. Such techniques, although they may have some simplifying assumptions, allow a reservoir or production engineer to perform a quick study of their reservoir in order to obtain a broad understanding of the dynamical processes and make approximate costing forecasts. Analytic solutions are extremely fast and provide none of the timestepping and convergence issues seen with a numerical based simulator. Also, a necessary step in many reservoir studies involves the history matching of observed data by optimizing model parameters. The history matched model is then used for performance prediction. Given the speed and reliability of analytic results there is a clear opportunity to exploit their use in history matching studies.

There has been much work in the literature regarding analytic approaches, particularly for well testing applications [8, 3, 13, 1, 7] but also from a full field reservoir standpoint, where multiple wells and reservoir boundaries must be accounted for to forecast production over the required timescales. Algorithms for full field simulation problems based on analytic approaches have been presented in the literature

*School of Mathematics, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

[†]Email: needhamd@for.mat.bham.ac.uk

[‡]Department of Mathematics, University of Reading, Reading RG6 6AX, UK

[§]Email: s.langdon@reading.ac.uk

[¶]Schlumberger Oilfield UK Plc, Wyndyke Furlong, Abingdon, OX14 1UJ, UK

||Email: gbusswell@abingdon.oilfield.slb.com

**Email: pgilchrist@abingdon.oilfield.slb.com

for porous media with homogeneous and anisotropic permeability in a variety of sources [2, 17, 11]. A more complex problem involves the application of analytic approaches to full field scenarios where the reservoir has inhomogeneous permeability and variable geometry [10, 12, 6, 16].

In this paper we introduce a new approach to solving full field reservoir problems with inhomogeneous and anisotropic permeability and variable reservoir geometry using the method of matched asymptotic expansions. Specifically, our problem involves determining analytical expressions for the pressure and velocity fields for a weakly compressible fluid flowing in a horizontal reservoir with variable upper and lower boundaries. Vertical wells injecting or extracting fluid from the reservoir can be considered as line sources and sinks respectively. Numerical solution of the full equations of motion throughout the reservoir can be prohibitively expensive. However, under the condition that the depth scale of the reservoir h is small compared to the length scale of the reservoir l , as is often the case in geophysical applications, it can be shown (allowing further that the porous medium has inhomogeneous and anisotropic permeability) that the dimension of the problem can be reduced away from the wells, with solution of the full equations of motion being required only in a small domain around the wells where the geometry is radically simplified. Moreover, as the ratio h/l decreases, efficient application of numerical schemes becomes harder, whilst the problem becomes more amenable to solution via matched asymptotic theory.

Here, we restrict attention to the case of two-dimensional flow. The full three-dimensional problem will be dealt with in subsequent work [9]. We introduce the parameter $\epsilon = h/l$, and consider asymptotic solutions to the equations of motion of the fluid in increasing powers of ϵ , with $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. In the vicinity of a well (the *inner* region) the pressure field is two-dimensional, but away from the wells (the *outer* region) the pressure field is only one-dimensional. This immediately leads to a reduction in complexity. Here however, rather than solving the full equations of motion numerically in the inner and outer regions, we construct two-term expansions in both the inner and outer regions. These expansions in the inner and outer regions can then be matched, via the Van Dyke asymptotic matching principle [18], enabling us to derive amenable analytical expressions for all significant process quantities.

We begin in §2 by deriving the equations of motion in the porous medium. Conservation of mass and momentum lead to a strongly parabolic linear initial boundary value problem for the dynamic fluid pressure (from which the fluid velocity field can be deduced), with Neumann boundary conditions, under the assumption that the walls are impenetrable to the fluid in the porous medium. This initial boundary value problem has a unique solution, but its direct computation would be expensive, primarily due to stiffness when $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. We thus consider the associated steady state problem [SSP], a linear strongly elliptic Neumann problem, which also has a unique solution (up to a constant) under the further constraint that the sum of the total volume fluxes at the wells (the line sources and sinks) is zero. Solution of the steady state problem is considered in §3. Subtracting the solution of the steady state problem from the solution of the initial value problem leads to a strongly parabolic homogeneous problem with no discontinuities across the sources and sinks. The solution of this problem leads to a regular self-adjoint eigenvalue problem [EVP] whose solution is considered in §4.

Rather than solving [SSP] and [EVP] directly, the solution to each problem is considered in the asymptotic limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, via the method of matched asymptotic expansions. For the two-dimensional problem these asymptotic solutions can be con-

structed analytically. To solve [SSP], we proceed first with the situation when the wells are well spaced and are away from the reservoir boundaries, after which the case of wells close to a boundary, or close together, is considered in §3.1 and §3.2. The asymptotic solution can be constructed directly in the outer region, up to $O(\epsilon^2)$. In the inner region, determination of the leading order terms reduces to the solution of a strongly elliptic problem whose solution can be written analytically in terms of the eigenvalues and corresponding eigenfunctions of a regular Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem. The asymptotic solution of [EVP] in §4 also reduces to a regular Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem identical in structure to that discussed in §3, and a consideration of this allows us to demonstrate that the solution to the full initial boundary value problem approaches the solution to the steady state problem through terms exponentially small with respect to time t as $t \rightarrow \infty$. With D_z being the permeability scale in the vertical direction, and D_x being the permeability scale in the horizontal direction, the further generalisation that $D_z = o(D_x)$ rather than $O(D_x)$ is considered in §5, where it is shown that the structure of the solution is identical to that found for the case that $D_z = O(D_x)$, after a suitable re-definition of the parameter ϵ . The constraint on the sum of the total volume fluxes at the wells being zero is removed in §6, and in §7 we apply the theory to a simple model example. Finally in §8 we draw some conclusions.

2. Equations of motion. We consider the flow of a weakly compressible fluid in the presence of sources and sinks in a reservoir of porous medium with variable upper and lower boundary. The reservoir has permeability which is inhomogeneous and anisotropic. We restrict attention to the situation when the flow is two-dimensional. We denote the interior of the porous medium by $M \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and its impermeable boundary by $\partial M \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, with $\bar{M} = M \cup \partial M$. We introduce rectangular cartesian coordinates (x, z) , with z pointing vertically upwards and x pointing horizontally. The vertical side walls of the reservoir are taken to be at $x = \pm l$, with $l > 0$. The upper and lower surface of the reservoir are described by

$$(2.1) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} z &= h z_+(x/l) \\ z &= h z_-(x/l) \end{aligned} \right\} x \in [-l, l],$$

with $h(> 0)$ being the reservoir depth scale and $z_+, z_- : [-1, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ being such that

$$(2.2) \quad z_+, z_- \in C^1([-1, 1]),$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad z_+(x) > z_-(x) \text{ for all } x \in [-1, 1].$$

Normal fields on the upper and lower surfaces are then given by


$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{n}_+(x) &= \left(-\frac{h}{l} z'_+(x), 1 \right) \\ \mathbf{n}_-(x) &= \left(\frac{h}{l} z'_-(x), -1 \right) \end{aligned}, x \in [-1, 1],$$

with the normals directed out of \bar{M} . The situation is illustrated in figure 2.1.

Embedded within \bar{M} are $N(\in \mathbb{N})$ vertical line sources/sinks at locations

$$(2.5) \quad x_i \in (-l, l), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Each line source/sink extends from the upper surface to the lower surface of \bar{M} . The two components of permeability, in the x and z directions respectively, are specified

FIG. 2.1. Porous layer $M \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, with impermeable boundary ∂M

by

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} D_0 D_x \left(\frac{x}{l}, \frac{z}{h} \right) &\geq D_m > 0, \\ D_0 D_z \left(\frac{x}{l}, \frac{z}{h} \right) &\geq D_m > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (x, z) \in \bar{M},$$

with $D_x, D_z : \bar{M} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad D_x, D_z \in C^1(\bar{M}).$$

Here $D_0 > 0$ is a permeability scale for the layer and $D_m > 0$ is a lower bound on permeability in the layer.

We represent the fluid velocity field and pressure field at each point within the layer by

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{q} &= \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{r}, t) = (u(\mathbf{r}, t), w(\mathbf{r}, t)), \\ p &= p(\mathbf{r}, t), \end{aligned}$$

for each $(\mathbf{r}, t) \in \bar{M} \times [0, \infty)$. Here $t \geq 0$ represents time. The equation of conservation of fluid mass within the layer is then

$$(2.9) \quad \rho_t + (\rho u)_x + (\rho w)_z = \sum_{i=1}^N \rho s_i \left(\frac{z}{h} \right) \frac{1}{l} \delta \left(\frac{x - x_i}{l} \right), \quad (x, z) \in M, \quad t \in (0, \infty).$$

Here $\rho = \rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the fluid density field for $(\mathbf{r}, t) \in \bar{M} \times [0, \infty)$, whilst

$$(2.10) \quad s_i : \left[z_- \left(\frac{x_i}{l} \right), z_+ \left(\frac{x_i}{l} \right) \right] \mapsto \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

represent the line source/sink volumetric strengths, with

$$(2.11) \quad s_i \in C \left(\left[z_- \left(\frac{x_i}{l} \right), z_+ \left(\frac{x_i}{l} \right) \right] \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

The total volume flux per unit width from the i^{th} line source/sink is then

$$(2.12) \quad Q_i = \int_{hz_-(x_i/l)}^{hz_+(x_i/l)} s_i \left(\frac{\lambda}{h} \right) d\lambda, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

In (2.9) $\delta : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is the usual Dirac delta function. Conservation of momentum in the fluid is accounted for through the D'Arcy equation for flow in a porous media, giving

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} u &= -D_0 D_x \left(\frac{x}{l}, \frac{z}{h} \right) p_x, \\ w &= -D_0 D_z \left(\frac{x}{l}, \frac{z}{h} \right) (p_z + \rho g), \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x, z) \in M$, $t \in (0, \infty)$, where g is the acceleration due to gravity. The effect of weak compressibility is accounted for through the equation of state,

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dp} = c_t,$$

with $c_t > 0$ being constant. An integration of (2.14) leads to

$$(2.15) \quad \rho(p) = \rho_0 e^{c_t p_0 (p/p_0 - 1)},$$

with $\rho_0 (> 0)$ and p_0 being reference density and pressure respectively. Now, for a weakly compressible fluid,

$$(2.16) \quad 0 < c_t p_0 \ll 1,$$

and we may then approximate (2.15) as

$$(2.17) \quad \rho(p) = \rho_0 \left[1 + \sigma \left(\frac{p}{p_0} - 1 \right) \right],$$

with $\sigma = c_t p_0 (\ll 1)$. We may now eliminate ρ from the equations of motion (2.9) and (2.13) via (2.17). On introducing $\tau = t/\sigma$, and then neglecting terms of $O(\sigma)$, we obtain, for $0 < \sigma \ll 1$,

$$(2.18) \quad \frac{1}{p_0} p_\tau + u_x + w_z = \sum_{i=1}^N s_i \left(\frac{z}{h} \right) \frac{1}{l} \delta \left(\frac{x - x_i}{l} \right),$$

$$(2.19) \quad u = -D_0 D_x \left(\frac{x}{l}, \frac{z}{h} \right) p_x,$$

$$(2.20) \quad w = -D_0 D_z \left(\frac{x}{l}, \frac{z}{h} \right) (p_z + \rho_0 g)$$

for all $(x, z) \in M$, $\tau \in (0, \infty)$. We now set

$$(2.21) \quad Q = \sum_{i=1}^N |Q_i| \quad (> 0).$$

The natural scales are then $x \sim l$ and $z \sim h$, from the geometry of the porous layer, whilst $s_i \sim Q/h$, via (2.12). The continuity equation (2.18) then requires $u \sim Q/h$ and $w \sim Q/l$, whilst the momentum equation (2.19) requires $p \sim (lQ)/(hD_0)$. We therefore introduce the dimensionless variables,

$$(2.22) \quad x = lx', \quad z = hz', \quad s_i = \frac{Q}{h} s'_i, \quad u = \frac{Q}{h} u', \quad w = \frac{Q}{l} w', \quad p = \left(\frac{lQ}{hD_0} \right) p', \quad \tau = \frac{l^2}{p_0 D_0} t'.$$

On substitution from (2.22) into (2.18)–(2.20) (and dropping primes for convenience) we obtain the dimensionless equations of motion as

$$(2.23) \quad \bar{p}_t + u_x + w_z = \sum_{i=1}^N s_i(z) \delta(x - x_i),$$

$$(2.24) \quad u = -D_x(x, z) \bar{p}_x,$$

$$(2.25) \quad \epsilon^2 w = -D_z(x, z) \bar{p}_z,$$

for all $(x, z) \in M'$, $t \in (0, \infty)$. Here,

$$(2.26) \quad p(x, z, t) = -\hat{\sigma}z + \bar{p}(x, z, t),$$

with \bar{p} being the dynamic fluid pressure, and the dimensionless parameters ϵ and $\hat{\sigma}$ are given by

$$(2.27) \quad \epsilon = \frac{h}{l}, \quad \hat{\sigma} = \frac{h^2 \rho_0 g D_0}{lQ}.$$

The dimensionless domain is now

$$M' = \{(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \in (-1, 1), z \in (z_-(x), z_+(x))\},$$

with closure \bar{M}' and boundary $\partial M'$. The line source/sink locations are at $x_i \in (-1, 1)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. The volume flux condition (2.12) becomes

$$(2.28) \quad \alpha_i = \int_{z_-(x_i)}^{z_+(x_i)} s_i(\mu) d\mu, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

with

$$(2.29) \quad \alpha_i = \frac{Q_i}{Q}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

from which we observe that

$$(2.30) \quad |\alpha_i| = \frac{|Q_i|}{Q} \leq 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

and

$$(2.31) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N |\alpha_i| = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |Q_i|}{Q} = 1,$$

via (2.21). We next consider the boundary conditions. On the boundary $\partial M'$ the walls are impenetrable to the fluid in the porous layer. Thus we have

$$(2.32) \quad u(-1, z, t) = 0, \quad \text{for all } z \in [z_-(-1), z_+(-1)], t \in (0, \infty),$$

$$(2.33) \quad u(1, z, t) = 0, \quad \text{for all } z \in [z_-(1), z_+(1)], t \in (0, \infty),$$

$$(2.34) \quad w(x, z_+(x), t) - z'_+(x)u(x, z_+(x), t) = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in (-1, 1), t \in (0, \infty),$$

$$(2.35) \quad w(x, z_-(x), t) - z'_-(x)u(x, z_-(x), t) = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in (-1, 1), t \in (0, \infty).$$

Finally we have the initial condition

$$(2.36) \quad \bar{p}(x, z, 0) = \bar{p}_0(x, z), \quad \text{for all } (x, z) \in \bar{M}',$$

with

$$(2.37) \quad \bar{p}_0 \in C^1(\bar{M}').$$

The full problem for consideration is given by (2.23)–(2.25), (2.32)–(2.36), which we will refer to as [IBVP]. To proceed it is convenient to introduce $d_i \subset \bar{M}'$ as follows,

$$(2.38) \quad d_i = \{(x, z) \in \bar{M}' : x = x_i, z \in (z_-(x_i), z_+(x_i))\},$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, N$, and set

$$(2.39) \quad d = \bigcup_{i=1}^N d_i.$$

We require that a solution to [IBVP] has the following regularity (on adopting the usual Dirac delta function formalism),

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{p} &\in C(\bar{M}' \times [0, \infty)) \cap C^1((\bar{M}' \setminus \bar{d}) \times (0, \infty)) \cap C^2((M' \setminus d) \times (0, \infty)) \\ u &\in C((\bar{M}' \setminus \bar{d}) \times (0, \infty)) \cap C^1((M' \setminus d) \times (0, \infty)) \\ w &\in C(\bar{M}' \times (0, \infty)) \cap C^1((M' \setminus d) \times (0, \infty)) \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_i^\pm} \bar{p}_x$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_i^\pm} \bar{p}_z$ both exist uniformly for $z \in [z_-(x_i), z_+(x_i)]$, for each $i = 1, \dots, N$, and $t \in (0, \infty)$.

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{p}_z]_{x_i^-}^{x_i^+} &= 0, \\ [\bar{p}_x]_{x_i^-}^{x_i^+} &= \frac{-s_i(z)}{D_x(x_i, z)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in [z_-(x_i), z_+(x_i)]$, and each $i = 1, \dots, N$, and $t \in (0, \infty)$.

We observe that (ii) requires, via (2.24), (2.25), that,

$$(2.40) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow x_i^\pm} u \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow x_i^\pm} w,$$

both exist uniformly for $z \in [z_-(x_i), z_+(x_i)]$, and (iii) requires that

$$(2.41) \quad [u]_{x_i^-}^{x_i^+} = s_i(z), \quad [w]_{x_i^-}^{x_i^+} = 0,$$

for all $z \in [z_-(x_i), z_+(x_i)]$, and for each $i = 1, \dots, N$, with $t \in (0, \infty)$. We now have the following preliminary result concerning [IBVP]:

THEOREM 2.1. *For each $\epsilon > 0$, [IBVP] has a unique solution $u, w, \bar{p} : \bar{M}' \times [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$. Moreover,*

$$(2.42) \quad \left(\int \int_{\bar{M}'} \bar{p}(x, z, t) \, dx \, dz \right)_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i,$$

for all $t \in (0, \infty)$.

Proof. Existence and uniqueness follow via regularity (2.7) and (2.11), with (2.6), on noting from (2.23)–(2.25), that [IBVP] is equivalent to the scalar initial boundary value problem

$$(2.43) \quad \bar{p}_t - \left\{ (D_x(x, z)\bar{p}_x)_x + \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} D_z(x, z)\bar{p}_z \right)_z \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^N s_i(z)\delta(x - x_i),$$

$$(x, z) \in M', t \in (0, \infty),$$

$$\bar{p}_x(-1, z, t) = 0, \text{ for all } z \in [z_-(-1), z_+(-1)], t \in (0, \infty),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{p}_x(1, z, t) &= 0, \text{ for all } z \in [z_-(1), z_+(1)], t \in (0, \infty), \\
D_z(x, z_+(x))\bar{p}_z(x, z_+(x), t) - \epsilon^2 z'_+(x)D_x(x, z_+(x))\bar{p}_x(x, z_+(x), t) &= 0, \\
&\text{for all } x \in (-1, 1), t \in (0, \infty), \\
D_z(x, z_-(x))\bar{p}_z(x, z_-(x), t) - \epsilon^2 z'_-(x)D_x(x, z_-(x))\bar{p}_x(x, z_-(x), t) &= 0, \\
&\text{for all } x \in (-1, 1), t \in (0, \infty), \\
\bar{p}(x, z, 0) &= \bar{p}_0(x, z) \text{ for all } (x, z) \in \bar{M}'.
\end{aligned}$$

The partial differential equation (2.43) is linear and strongly parabolic, with the boundary conditions of non-degenerate (via (2.6)) weighted Neumann type, after which existence and uniqueness follows from the classical theory in [5, chapter 3]. Equation (2.42) follows from an application of Green's Theorem on the plane to (2.43) in \bar{M}' on using the associated boundary conditions and regularity in (i)–(iii). \square

The associated steady state problem to [IBVP] is,

$$(2.44) \quad \hat{u}_x + \hat{w}_z = \sum_{i=1}^N s_i(z)\delta(x - x_i), \quad (x, z) \in M',$$

$$(2.45) \quad \hat{u} = -D_x(x, z)\hat{p}_x, \quad (x, z) \in M',$$

$$(2.46) \quad \epsilon^2 \hat{w} = -D_z(x, z)\hat{p}_z, \quad (x, z) \in M',$$

$$(2.47) \quad \hat{u}(-1, z) = 0, \quad \text{for all } z \in [z_-(-1), z_+(-1)],$$

$$(2.48) \quad \hat{u}(1, z) = 0, \quad \text{for all } z \in [z_-(1), z_+(1)],$$

$$(2.49) \quad \hat{w}(x, z_+(x)) - z'_+(x)\hat{u}(x, z_+(x)) = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in (-1, 1),$$

$$(2.50) \quad \hat{w}(x, z_-(x)) - z'_-(x)\hat{u}(x, z_-(x)) = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in (-1, 1),$$

which we will refer to as [SSP]. Corresponding to (i)–(iii) a solution to [SSP] has the following regularity,

(si)

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{p} &\in C(\bar{M}') \cap C^1(\bar{M}' \setminus \bar{d}) \cap C^2(M' \setminus d) \\
\hat{u} &\in C(\bar{M}' \setminus \bar{d}) \cap C^1(M' \setminus d) \\
\hat{w} &\in C(\bar{M}') \cap C^1(M' \setminus d)
\end{aligned}$$

(sii) $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_i^\pm} \hat{p}_x$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_i^\pm} \hat{p}_z$ both exist uniformly for $z \in [z_-(x_i), z_+(x_i)]$, for each $i = 1, \dots, N$.

(siii)

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{p}_z]_{x_i^-}^{x_i^+} &= 0, \\
[\hat{p}_x]_{x_i^-}^{x_i^+} &= \frac{-s_i(z)}{D_x(x_i, z)},
\end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in [z_-(x_i), z_+(x_i)]$, and each $i = 1, \dots, N$.

As before we observe that $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_i^\pm} \hat{u}$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_i^\pm} \hat{w}$ both exist, uniformly for $z \in [z_-(x_i), z_+(x_i)]$, and

$$(2.51) \quad [\hat{u}]_{x_i^-}^{x_i^+} = s_i(z), \quad [\hat{w}]_{x_i^-}^{x_i^+} = 0,$$

for all $z \in [z_-(x_i), z_+(x_i)]$, and for each $i = 1, \dots, N$. Again, following standard theory for linear, strongly elliptic weighted Neumann problems (see, for example, [5] or [15, chapters 8,9]) we have:

THEOREM 2.2. For each $\epsilon > 0$, [SSP] has a unique (up to addition of a constant in \hat{p}) solution $\hat{u}, \hat{w}, \hat{p} : \bar{M}' \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, if and only if

$$(2.52) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = 0.$$

In what follows we will assume that the specified flux constants α_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, satisfy condition (2.52). Now let $\tilde{u}, \tilde{w}, \tilde{p} : \bar{M}' \times [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$(2.53) \quad \tilde{u} = u - \hat{u}, \quad \tilde{w} = w - \hat{w}, \quad \tilde{p} = \bar{p} - \hat{p}.$$

It then follows that \tilde{u}, \tilde{w} and \tilde{p} are solutions to the problem

$$(2.54) \quad \tilde{p}_t - \left\{ (D_x(x, z)\tilde{p}_x)_x + \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} D_z(x, z)\tilde{p}_z \right)_z \right\} = 0, \quad (x, z) \in M', \quad t \in (0, \infty),$$

$$(2.55) \quad \tilde{p}_x(-1, z, t) = 0, \quad \text{for all } z \in [z_-(-1), z_+(-1)], \quad t \in (0, \infty),$$

$$(2.56) \quad \tilde{p}_x(1, z, t) = 0, \quad \text{for all } z \in [z_-(1), z_+(1)], \quad t \in (0, \infty),$$

$$(2.57) \quad D_z(x, z_+(x))\tilde{p}_z(x, z_+(x), t) - \epsilon^2 z'_+(x) D_x(x, z_+(x))\tilde{p}_x(x, z_+(x), t) = 0, \\ \text{for all } x \in (-1, 1), \quad t \in (0, \infty),$$

$$(2.58) \quad D_z(x, z_-(x))\tilde{p}_z(x, z_-(x), t) - \epsilon^2 z'_-(x) D_x(x, z_-(x))\tilde{p}_x(x, z_-(x), t) = 0, \\ \text{for all } x \in (-1, 1), \quad t \in (0, \infty),$$

$$(2.59) \quad \tilde{p}(x, z, 0) = \bar{p}_0(x, z) - \hat{p}(x, z) = \tilde{p}_0(x, z) \quad \text{for all } (x, z) \in \bar{M}',$$

with regularity

$$(2.60) \quad \tilde{p} \in C(\bar{M}' \times [0, \infty)) \cap C^1(\bar{M}' \times (0, \infty)) \cap C^2(M' \times (0, \infty)),$$

after which

$$(2.61) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{u} &= -D_x(x, z)\tilde{p}_x \\ \tilde{w} &= -\epsilon^{-2} D_z(x, z)\tilde{p}_z \end{aligned}, \quad (x, z) \in \bar{M}' \times (0, \infty).$$

To fix the indeterminate constant in Theorem 2.2, we will take $\hat{p} : \bar{M}' \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ to be that steady state which satisfies

$$(2.62) \quad \int \int_{\bar{M}'} \hat{p}(x, z) \, dx \, dz = \int \int_{\bar{M}'} \bar{p}_0(x, z) \, dx \, dz$$

so that, via (2.59),

$$(2.63) \quad \int \int_{\bar{M}'} \tilde{p}_0(x, z) \, dx \, dz = 0.$$

Now it follows from Theorem 2.1 that the strongly parabolic problem (2.54)–(2.61) has a unique solution in $\bar{M}' \times [0, \infty)$. We will now construct this solution. To this end we first consider the following self-adjoint eigenvalue problem in \bar{M}' ,

$$(D_x(x, z)\phi_x)_x + \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} D_z(x, z)\phi_z \right)_z + \lambda\phi = 0, \quad (x, z) \in M',$$

$$\phi_x(-1, z) = 0, \quad \text{for all } z \in [z_-(-1), z_+(-1)],$$

$$\phi_x(1, z) = 0, \quad \text{for all } z \in [z_-(1), z_+(1)],$$

$$D_z(x, z_+(x))\phi_z(x, z_+(x)) - \epsilon^2 z'_+(x) D_x(x, z_+(x))\phi_x(x, z_+(x)) = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in (-1, 1),$$

$$D_z(x, z_-(x))\phi_z(x, z_-(x)) - \epsilon^2 z'_-(x) D_x(x, z_-(x))\phi_x(x, z_-(x)) = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in (-1, 1).$$

We will denote this eigenvalue problem by [EVP], with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ being the eigenvalue parameter. It follows from (2.6) that this is a regular, self-adjoint eigenvalue problem. It then follows from standard theory that the eigenvalues of [EVP] are all real and given by $\lambda = \lambda_j(\epsilon)$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, with

$$(2.64) \quad 0 = \lambda_0(\epsilon) < \lambda_1(\epsilon) < \lambda_2(\epsilon) < \dots$$

with $\lambda_j(\epsilon) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Each corresponding eigenspace is spanned by a single eigenfunction $\phi_j : \bar{M}' \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, with

$$(2.65) \quad \phi_0(x, z, \epsilon) = (\text{meas}(\bar{M}'))^{-1/2}, \quad \text{for all } (x, z) \in \bar{M}',$$

with $\text{meas}(\bar{M}')$ being the measure (area) of $\bar{M}' \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and

$$\langle \phi_i, \phi_j \rangle = \int \int_{\bar{M}'} \phi_i(x, z, \epsilon) \phi_j(x, z, \epsilon) \, dx \, dz = \delta_{ij},$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, N$, and δ_{ij} being the Kronecker delta symbol. Moreover, any function $\psi : \bar{M}' \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that $\psi \in C^1(\bar{M}')$ and satisfies the same boundary conditions on $\partial M'$ as the set of eigenfunctions, has the representation,

$$(2.66) \quad \psi(x, z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \psi_r(\epsilon) \phi_r(x, z, \epsilon), \quad (x, z) \in \bar{M}',$$

with the convergence of the sum being uniform and absolute for $(x, z) \in \bar{M}'$, and the coefficients

$$(2.67) \quad \psi_j(\epsilon) = \langle \psi, \phi_j \rangle = \int \int_{\bar{M}'} \psi(x, z) \phi_j(x, z, \epsilon) \, dx \, dz,$$

for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ (see, for example, [15, chapters 8,9]). It is now straightforward to establish that the (unique) solution to (2.54)–(2.59) is given by

$$(2.68) \quad \tilde{p}(x, z, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(\epsilon) e^{-\lambda_n(\epsilon)t} \phi_n(x, z, \epsilon), \quad (x, z) \in \bar{M}', \quad t \in [0, \infty),$$

with $a_0(\epsilon) = 0$, via (2.59), (2.63), (2.65), (2.66) and (2.67), and

$$(2.69) \quad a_n(\epsilon) = \int \int_{\bar{M}'} \tilde{p}_0(u, v) \phi_n(u, v, \epsilon) \, du \, dv$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We observe immediately from (2.68), with (2.64), that

$$(2.70) \quad \tilde{p}(x, z, t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

uniformly for all $(x, z) \in \bar{M}'$, and that, in addition,

$$(2.71) \quad \tilde{p}_x(x, z, t), \tilde{p}_z(x, z, t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

uniformly for all $(x, z) \in \bar{M}'$. Thus, we now have established:

THEOREM 2.3. *Let α_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, be such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = 0,$$

then for each $\epsilon > 0$, [IBVP] has a unique solution $u, w, \bar{p} : \bar{M}' \times [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$(2.72) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{p}(x, z, t) &= \hat{p}(x, z) + \tilde{p}(x, z, t), \\ u(x, z, t) &= \hat{u}(x, z) - D_x(x, z)\tilde{p}_x(x, z, t), \\ w(x, z, t) &= \hat{w}(x, z) - \epsilon^{-2}D_z(x, z)\tilde{p}_z(x, z, t), \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x, z) \in \bar{M}'$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$. Here $\tilde{p} : \bar{M}' \times [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is given by (2.68), (2.69) and $\hat{u}, \hat{w}, \hat{p} : \bar{M}' \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is that solution to [SSP] which satisfies the constraint

$$(2.73) \quad \iint_{\bar{M}'} \hat{p}(x, z) \, dx \, dz = \iint_{\bar{M}'} \bar{p}_0(x, z) \, dx \, dz.$$

Moreover,

$$(2.74) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} \bar{p}(x, z, t) &\rightarrow \hat{p}(x, z) \\ u(x, z, t) &\rightarrow \hat{u}(x, z) \\ w(x, z, t) &\rightarrow \hat{w}(x, z) \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly for $(x, z) \in \bar{M}'$.

To complete the solution to the problem we are required to determine $\lambda_n(\epsilon) (> 0)$ and its corresponding eigenfunction $\phi_n : \bar{M}' \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ for each $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, together with the steady state $\hat{p}, \hat{u}, \hat{w} : \bar{M}' \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the constraint (2.73). In the next sections we thus focus attention on the study of [SSP] and [EVP] in turn.

In particular for a thin porous layer the parameter ϵ , which measures the aspect ratio of the layer, is small, so that $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. In the next two sections we will consider the structure of the solutions to [SSP] and [EVP] in the asymptotic limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, via the method of matched asymptotic expansions.

3. Asymptotic solution to the steady state problem [SSP] as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. In this section we develop the uniform asymptotic structure to the solution to the steady state problem [SSP] (given by (2.44)–(2.50)) in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, via the method of matched asymptotic expansions. We recall that existence and uniqueness, for each $\epsilon > 0$, follows from Theorem 2.2, and following Theorem 2.3, we require that solution of [SSP] which satisfies the constraint

$$(3.1) \quad \iint_{\bar{M}'} \hat{p}(x, z) \, dx \, dz = I_0,$$

where the constant I_0 is given by

$$(3.2) \quad I_0 = \iint_{\bar{M}'} \bar{p}_0(x, z) \, dx \, dz.$$

Due to the initial scalings in the nondimensionalization (2.22), we anticipate that $\hat{u}, \hat{w}, \hat{p} : \bar{M}' \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ are such that

$$(3.3) \quad \hat{u}, \hat{w}, \hat{p} = O(1)$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, uniformly for,

$$(3.4) \quad (x, z) \in \bar{M}' \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N \delta_i^\epsilon = \bar{N}'_\epsilon,$$

where δ_i^ϵ is an $O(\epsilon)$ neighbourhood of \bar{d}_i , for each $i = 1, \dots, N$. Therefore, following (3.3) we introduce the outer region (this being \bar{N}'_ϵ) asymptotic expansions

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{u}(x, z; \epsilon) &= \hat{u}_0(x, z) + \epsilon \hat{u}_1(x, z) + O(\epsilon^2), \\ \hat{w}(x, z; \epsilon) &= \hat{w}_0(x, z) + \epsilon \hat{w}_1(x, z) + O(\epsilon^2), \\ \hat{p}(x, z; \epsilon) &= \hat{p}_0(x, z) + \epsilon \hat{p}_1(x, z) + O(\epsilon^2), \end{aligned}$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, uniformly for $(x, z) \in \bar{N}'_\epsilon$. We now substitute from (3.5) into [SSP], and condition (3.1). At leading order we obtain the following problem for $\hat{u}_0, \hat{w}_0, \hat{p}_0 : \bar{M}' \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, namely,

$$(3.6) \quad \hat{u}_{0x} + \hat{w}_{0z} = \sum_{i=1}^N s_i(z) \delta(x - x_i), \quad (x, z) \in M',$$

$$(3.7) \quad \hat{u}_0 = -D_x(x, z) \hat{p}_{0x}, \quad (x, z) \in M',$$

$$(3.8) \quad 0 = -D_z(x, z) \hat{p}_{0z}, \quad (x, z) \in M',$$

$$(3.9) \quad \hat{u}_0(-1, z) = 0, \quad z \in [z_-(-1), z_+(-1)],$$

$$(3.10) \quad \hat{u}_0(1, z) = 0, \quad z \in [z_-(1), z_+(1)],$$

$$(3.11) \quad \hat{w}_0(x, z_+(x)) - z'_+(x) \hat{u}_0(x, z_+(x)) = 0, \quad x \in (-1, 1),$$

$$(3.12) \quad \hat{w}_0(x, z_-(x)) - z'_-(x) \hat{u}_0(x, z_-(x)) = 0, \quad x \in (-1, 1),$$

$$(3.13) \quad \int \int_{\bar{M}'} \hat{p}_0(x, z) dx dz = I_0.$$

We now construct the solution of (3.6)–(3.13). As a consequence of (2.6), equation (3.8) requires,

$$(3.14) \quad \hat{p}_0(x, z) = A(x), \quad (x, z) \in \bar{M}',$$

with $A : [-1, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ to be determined. Equation (3.7) then gives

$$(3.15) \quad \hat{u}_0(x, z) = -D_x(x, z) A'(x), \quad (x, z) \in \bar{M}',$$

and the boundary conditions (3.9) and (3.10) then require

$$(3.16) \quad A'(-1) = A'(1) = 0.$$

We next substitute from (3.15) into (3.6) which becomes

$$(3.17) \quad \hat{w}_{0z} = \sum_{i=1}^N s_i(z) \delta(x - x_i) + [D_x(x, z) A'(x)]_x, \quad (x, z) \in M'.$$

A direct integration of (3.17), together with an application of the boundary condition (3.12) leads to

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{w}_0(x, z) &= \sum_{i=1}^N F_i(z) \delta(x - x_i) \\ &+ \int_{z_-(x)}^z [D_x(x, \lambda) A'(x)]_x d\lambda - z'_-(x) D_x(x, z_-(x)) A'(x), \quad (x, z) \in \bar{M}', \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.19) \quad F_i(z) = \int_{z_-(x_i)}^z s_i(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad z \in [z_-(x_i), z_+(x_i)],$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, N$. (Note that $F_i : [z_-(x_i), z_+(x_i)] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is such that $F_i \in C^1([z_-(x_i), z_+(x_i)])$, for each $i = 1, \dots, N$.) It remains to apply the boundary condition (3.11). The application of (3.11) using (3.15) and (3.19) finally requires that

$$(3.20) \quad \int_{z_-(x)}^{z_+(x)} [D_x(x, \lambda)A'(x)]_x d\lambda + \{z'_+(x)D_x(x, z_+(x)) - z'_-(x)D_x(x, z_-(x))\}A'(x) + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \delta(x - x_i) = 0, \quad x \in (-1, 1).$$

We now rewrite the first term on the left-hand side of (3.20) as

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{z_-(x)}^{z_+(x)} [D_x(x, \lambda)A'(x)]_x d\lambda = \\ & \left(\int_{z_-(x)}^{z_+(x)} D_x(x, \lambda)A'(x) d\lambda \right)' - \{z'_+(x)D_x(x, z_+(x)) - z'_-(x)D_x(x, z_-(x))\}A'(x) \\ & = (\bar{D}_x(x)A'(x))' - \{z'_+(x)D_x(x, z_+(x)) - z'_-(x)D_x(x, z_-(x))\}A'(x), \quad x \in (-1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

On substitution from (3.21) into (3.20) we obtain

$$(3.22) \quad (\bar{D}_x(x)A'(x))' = - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \delta(x - x_i), \quad x \in (-1, 1),$$

with

$$(3.23) \quad \bar{D}_x(x) = \int_{z_-(x)}^{z_+(x)} D_x(x, \lambda) d\lambda, \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

which represents the depth integrated permeability of the layer in the x -direction at each location $x \in [-1, 1]$. We observe that $\bar{D}_x : [-1, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is such that

$$(3.24) \quad \bar{D}_x \in C^1([-1, 1]),$$

$$(3.25) \quad \bar{D}_x(x) \geq \bar{D}_0 > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in [-1, 1],$$

via (2.6) and (2.7), for some positive constant \bar{D}_0 . Thus $A : [-1, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is determined as the solution to the linear, inhomogeneous, boundary value problem,

$$(3.26) \quad [\bar{D}_x(x)A'(x)]' = - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \delta(x - x_i), \quad x \in (-1, 1),$$

$$(3.27) \quad A'(-1) = A'(1) = 0,$$

$$(3.28) \quad \int_{-1}^1 (z_+(x) - z_-(x))A(x) dx = I_0,$$

with the final constraint arising via (3.13) on using (3.14). We will refer to this problem as [BVP]. We observe the following.

REMARK 3.1. [BVP] has a unique solution if and only if

$$(3.29) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = 0.$$

This is in accord with condition (2.52) of Theorem 2.2. We now construct the solution to [BVP] (under condition (3.29)). It is straightforward to establish that the solution to [BVP] (with the usual Dirac delta function formalism) is given by

$$(3.30) \quad A(x) = \int_{-1}^x \frac{S(\lambda)}{\bar{D}_x(\lambda)} d\lambda + A_0, \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

where here the function $S : [-1, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is the step function, given by

$$(3.31) \quad S(\lambda) = - \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i, \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in [x_k, x_{k+1}),$$

and for each $k = 0, \dots, N$, where we have defined

$$(3.32) \quad \alpha_0 = 0, \quad x_0 = -1, \quad x_{N+1} = 1.$$

The constant $A_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$(3.33) \quad A_0 = \frac{I_0}{\text{meas}(\bar{M}')} - \frac{1}{\text{meas}(\bar{M}')} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{S(\lambda) \text{meas}(\bar{M}'(\lambda))}{\bar{D}_x(\lambda)} d\lambda,$$

where

$$(3.34) \quad \text{meas}(\bar{M}'(\lambda)) = \int_{\lambda}^1 (z_+(\mu) - z_-(\mu)) d\mu, \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in [-1, 1],$$

so that $\text{meas}(\bar{M}'(-1)) = \text{meas}(\bar{M}')$. We observe that

$$(3.35) \quad A \in C([-1, 1]) \cap PC^2([-1, 1]),$$

and that

$$(3.36) \quad A'(x_j^+) - A'(x_j^-) = \frac{-\alpha_j}{\bar{D}_x(x_j)}$$

for each $j = 1, \dots, N$. We can now reconstruct the solution to the leading order problem as

$$(3.37) \quad \hat{p}_0(x, z) = A(x), \quad (x, z) \in \bar{M}',$$

$$(3.38) \quad \hat{u}_0(x, z) = \frac{-D_x(x, z)}{\bar{D}_x(x)} S(x), \quad (x, z) \in \bar{M}',$$

$$(3.39) \quad \hat{w}_0(x, z) = S(x) \int_{z_-(x)}^z \left\{ \frac{D_x(x, \lambda)}{\bar{D}_x(x)} \right\}_x d\lambda - \frac{z'_-(x) D_x(x, z_-(x)) S(x)}{\bar{D}_x(x)}, \quad (x, z) \in \bar{N}'_\epsilon,$$

on using (3.14), (3.15), (3.18) and (3.30). It follows from (3.37)–(3.39) that

$$(3.40) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{p}_0 &\in C(\bar{M}') \cap C^1(\bar{M}' \setminus \bar{d}) \cap C^2(M' \setminus d), \\ \hat{u}_0 &\in C(\bar{M}' \setminus \bar{d}) \cap C^1(M' \setminus d), \\ \hat{w}_0 &\in C(\bar{M}' \setminus \bar{d}) \cap C^1(M' \setminus d), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.41) \quad [\hat{p}_0]_{x_i^-}^{x_i^+} = 0,$$

$$(3.42) \quad [\hat{p}_{0,x}]_{x_i^-}^{x_i^+} = \frac{-\alpha_i}{\bar{D}_x(x_i)},$$

$$(3.43) \quad [\hat{u}_0]_{x_i^-}^{x_i^+} = \frac{D_x(x_i, z)\alpha_i}{\bar{D}_x(x_i)},$$

$$(3.44) \quad [\hat{w}_0]_{x_i^-}^{x_i^+} = \left\{ \frac{z'_-(x_i)D_x(x_i, z_-(x_i))}{\bar{D}_x(x_i)} - \int_{z_-(x_i)}^z \left[\left\{ \frac{D_x(x, \lambda)}{\bar{D}_x(x)} \right\}_x \right]_{x=x_i} d\lambda \right\} \alpha_i$$

for $z \in [z_-(x_i), z_+(x_i)]$ and for each $i = 1, \dots, N$. We now proceed to $O(\epsilon)$. The problem for $\hat{u}_1, \hat{w}_1, \hat{p}_1 : \bar{M}' \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is similar to the leading order problem, and is not repeated here. We obtain

$$(3.45) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{p}_1(x, z) &= B(x), \quad (x, z) \in \bar{M}', \\ \hat{u}_1(x, z) &= -D_x(x, z)B'(x), \quad (x, z) \in \bar{M}', \\ \hat{w}_1(x, z) &= \int_{z_-(x)}^z [D_x(x, \lambda)B'(x)]_x d\lambda - z'_-(x)D_x(x, z_-(x))B'(x), \quad (x, z) \in \bar{M}', \end{aligned}$$

where $B : [-1, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is the solution to the boundary value problem,

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{D}_x(x)B'(x)]' &= 0, \quad x \in (-1, 1), \\ B'(-1) &= B'(1) = 0, \\ \int_{-1}^1 (z_+(x) - z_-(x))B(x) dx &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The unique solution $B \in C^1([-1, 1]) \cap C^2((-1, 1))$ is given by

$$B(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in [-1, 1],$$

and so

$$(3.46) \quad \hat{p}_1(x, z) = \hat{u}_1(x, z) = \hat{w}_1(x, z) = 0, \quad (x, z) \in \bar{M}',$$

via (3.45). The outer region asymptotic expansions are thus,

$$(3.47) \quad \hat{u}(x, z; \epsilon) = \frac{-D_x(x, z)}{\bar{D}_x(x)} S(x) + O(\epsilon^2),$$

$$(3.48) \quad \hat{w}(x, z; \epsilon) = S(x) \int_{z_-(x)}^z \left\{ \frac{D_x(x, \lambda)}{\bar{D}_x(x)} \right\}_x d\lambda - \frac{z'_-(x)D_x(x, z_-(x))S(x)}{\bar{D}_x(x)} + O(\epsilon^2),$$

$$(3.49) \quad \hat{p}(x, z; \epsilon) = A(x) + O(\epsilon^2),$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, uniformly for $(x, z) \in \bar{N}'_\epsilon$. Here $A, S : [-1, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ are given by (3.30), (3.31) and (3.33).

We now observe from (3.47)–(3.49), via (3.41)–(3.44), that all of the regularity requirements in (si), together with the limit conditions (sii), (siii) and (2.51) are not satisfied at $x = x_i$ for each $z \in [z_-(x_i), z_+(x_i)]$, with $i = 1, \dots, N$ (although the integrated forms are satisfied). We conclude (as was anticipated earlier) that the outer region asymptotic expansions (3.47)–(3.49) become non-uniform when $(x, z) \in \delta_i^\epsilon$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. To obtain a uniform asymptotic representation to the solution to [SSP] when $(x, z) \in \delta_i^\epsilon$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we must therefore introduce an inner region at

each line source/sink location $x = x_i$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. We now consider the inner region in the neighbourhood of $x = x_i$ in detail. In the inner region,

$$(3.50) \quad x = x_i + O(\epsilon), \quad z = O(1),$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, with, from (3.47)–(3.49),

$$(3.51) \quad \hat{u} = O(1), \quad \hat{w} = O(\epsilon^{-1}), \quad \hat{p} = A_i + O(\epsilon),$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, with,

$$(3.52) \quad A_i = A(x_i) = \int_{-1}^{x_i} \frac{S(\lambda)}{\bar{D}_x(\lambda)} d\lambda + A_0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Thus, in the inner region we write,

$$(3.53) \quad x = x_i + \epsilon X,$$

with $X \in (-\infty, \infty)$ such that $X = O(1)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, together with

$$(3.54) \quad \hat{u} = U, \quad \hat{w} = \epsilon^{-1}W, \quad \hat{p} = A_i + \epsilon P,$$

where $U, W, P : (-\infty, \infty) \times [z_-(x_i), z_+(x_i)] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ are such that $U, W, P = O(1)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. We now substitute from (3.53), (3.54) into the full problem [SSP] ((2.44), (2.45), (2.46), (2.49), (2.50), excluding conditions (2.47), (2.48) which lie outside the inner region in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$). The full problem in the inner region then becomes,

$$(3.55) \quad U_X + W_z = s_i(z)\delta(X), \quad (X, z) \in D(\epsilon),$$

$$(3.56) \quad U = -D_x(x_i + \epsilon X, z)P_X, \quad (X, z) \in D(\epsilon),$$

$$(3.57) \quad W = -D_z(x_i + \epsilon X, z)P_z, \quad (X, z) \in D(\epsilon),$$

$$(3.58) \quad W - \epsilon z'_+(x_i + \epsilon X)U = 0, \quad X \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad z = z_+(x_i + \epsilon X),$$

$$(3.59) \quad W - \epsilon z'_-(x_i + \epsilon X)U = 0, \quad X \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad z = z_-(x_i + \epsilon X),$$

together with matching conditions to the outer region as $|X| \rightarrow \infty$. Here

$$(3.60) \quad D(\epsilon) = \{(X, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : X \in (-\infty, \infty) \text{ and } z \in (z_-(x_i + \epsilon X), z_+(x_i + \epsilon X))\}.$$

We now introduce the inner region asymptotic expansions as

$$(3.61) \quad \begin{aligned} U(X, z; \epsilon) &= U_0(X, z) + O(\epsilon), \\ W(X, z; \epsilon) &= W_0(X, z) + O(\epsilon), \\ P(X, z; \epsilon) &= P_0(X, z) + O(\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, with $(X, z) \in \bar{D}(\epsilon)$. On substitution from (3.61) into (3.55)–(3.60) we obtain the leading order problem as

$$(3.62) \quad U_{0X} + W_{0z} = s_i(z)\delta(X), \quad (X, z) \in D(0),$$

$$(3.63) \quad U_0 = -\bar{D}_x(z)P_{0X}, \quad (X, z) \in D(0),$$

$$(3.64) \quad W_0 = -\bar{D}_z(z)P_{0z}, \quad (X, z) \in D(0),$$

$$(3.65) \quad W_0(X, z_+^i) = 0, \quad X \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

$$(3.66) \quad W_0(X, z_-^i) = 0, \quad X \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

Here,

$$(3.67) \quad \tilde{D}_x(z) = D_x(x_i, z), \quad \tilde{D}_z(z) = D_z(x_i, z),$$

for all $z \in [z_-^i, z_+^i]$, with

$$(3.68) \quad z_-^i = z_-(x_i), \quad z_+^i = z_+(x_i).$$

Also, $\bar{D}(0)$, via (3.60), is now the unbounded region in the (X, z) plane contained between the coordinate lines $z = z_-^i$ and $z = z_+^i$; that is

$$(3.69) \quad \bar{D}(0) = (-\infty, \infty) \times [z_-^i, z_+^i].$$

The leading order problem (3.62)–(3.66) is completed by applying the asymptotic matching principle of Van Dyke [18]. It is straightforward to establish that matching of \hat{p} is sufficient, after which matching of \hat{u} and \hat{w} follows automatically. We must apply Van Dyke's matching principle to the outer region asymptotic expansion for \hat{p} taken to $O(\epsilon)$, (3.49), with the inner region asymptotic expansion for \hat{p} taken to $O(\epsilon)$, (3.54) and (3.61). The appropriate matching condition is readily determined as

$$(3.70) \quad P_0(X, z) = A_i^{+'} X + o(1) \text{ as } X \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ uniformly for } z \in [z_-^i, z_+^i]$$

$$(3.71) \quad P_0(X, z) = A_i^{-'} X + o(1) \text{ as } X \rightarrow -\infty, \text{ uniformly for } z \in [z_-^i, z_+^i]$$

with

$$(3.72) \quad A_i^{+'} = A'(x_i^+) = -\frac{\sum_{j=0}^i \alpha_j}{\bar{D}_x(x_i)},$$

$$(3.73) \quad A_i^{-'} = A'(x_i^-) = -\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \alpha_j}{\bar{D}_x(x_i)},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, N$, via (3.30) and (3.31). Finally the regularity conditions (si)–(siii) with (2.51), require

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &\in C(\bar{D}(0)) \cap C^1(\bar{D}(0) \setminus \bar{I}) \cap C^2(D(0) \setminus I), \\ U_0 &\in C(\bar{D}(0) \setminus \bar{I}) \cap C^1(D(0) \setminus I), \\ W_0 &\in C(\bar{D}(0)) \cap C^1(D(0) \setminus I), \end{aligned}$$

where $I = \{0\} \times (z_-^i, z_+^i)$.

(ii) $\lim_{X \rightarrow 0^\pm} P_{0X}$ and $\lim_{X \rightarrow 0^\pm} P_{0z}$ both exist uniformly for $z \in [z_-^i, z_+^i]$.

(iii)

$$(3.74) \quad [P_{0z}]_0^{0+} = 0,$$

$$(3.75) \quad [P_{0X}]_0^{0+} = \frac{-s_i(z)}{\bar{D}_x(z)},$$

$$(3.76) \quad [U_0]_0^{0+} = s_i(z),$$

$$(3.77) \quad [W_0]_0^{0+} = 0,$$

for all $z \in [z_-^i, z_+^i]$.

We can now eliminate U_0 and W_0 , via (3.63) and (3.64), and obtain the following strongly elliptic problem for P_0 , namely,

$$(3.78) \quad (\tilde{D}_x(z)P_{0X})_X + (\tilde{D}_z(z)P_{0z})_z = -s_i(z)\delta(X), \quad (X, z) \in D(0),$$

$$(3.79) \quad P_{0z}(X, z_+^i) = 0, \quad X \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

$$(3.80) \quad P_{0z}(X, z_-^i) = 0, \quad X \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

$$(3.81) \quad P_0(X, z) = \begin{cases} A_i^+ X + o(1), & X \rightarrow +\infty, \\ A_i^- X + o(1), & X \rightarrow -\infty, \end{cases} \quad \text{uniformly for } z \in [z_-^i, z_+^i],$$

together with (Ii)–(Iiii). The first step in obtaining the solution to (3.78)–(3.81) is to consider the regular Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem,

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{D}_z(z)\psi_z)_z + \bar{\lambda}\tilde{D}_x(z)\psi &= 0, \quad z \in (z_-^i, z_+^i), \\ \psi_z(z_-^i) = \psi_z(z_+^i) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which we refer to as [SL]. Here $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}$ is the eigenvalue parameter. Classical Sturm-Liouville theory (see for example [4, chapters 7,8]) determines that the set of eigenvalues of [SL] is given by $\bar{\lambda} = \bar{\lambda}_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, with

$$(3.82) \quad 0 = \bar{\lambda}_0 < \bar{\lambda}_1 < \bar{\lambda}_2 < \bar{\lambda}_3 < \dots,$$

where $\bar{\lambda}_r \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The corresponding normalised eigenfunctions $\psi_i : [z_-^i, z_+^i] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ form an orthonormal set, so that,

$$(3.83) \quad \langle \psi_i, \psi_j \rangle = \int_{z_-^i}^{z_+^i} \tilde{D}_x(z)\psi_i(z)\psi_j(z) dz = \delta_{ij},$$

for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$. The set of eigenfunctions of [SL] are complete on the interval $[z_-^i, z_+^i]$. Completeness allows us to write the solution to (3.78) with conditions (3.79), (3.80) as

$$(3.84) \quad P_0(X, z) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_n^+(X)\psi_n(z), & X > 0, \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_n^-(X)\psi_n(z), & X < 0 \end{cases}$$

with $z \in [z_-^i, z_+^i]$. Substitution of (3.84) into (3.78) establishes that

$$(3.85) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi_n^+(X) &= A_n e^{\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} X} + B_n e^{-\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} X}, \quad X > 0, \\ \chi_n^-(X) &= D_n e^{\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} X} + C_n e^{-\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} X}, \quad X < 0, \end{aligned}$$

with $A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n \in \mathbb{R}$ constants, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. With $n = 0$, we have,

$$(3.86) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi_0^+(X) &= A_0 + B_0 X, \quad X > 0, \\ \chi_0^-(X) &= C_0 + D_0 X, \quad X < 0, \end{aligned}$$

with $A_0, B_0, C_0, D_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ constants. At this stage we observe that

$$(3.87) \quad \psi_0(z) = \left\{ \int_{z_-^i}^{z_+^i} \tilde{D}_x(s) ds \right\}^{-1/2} = \Psi_0, \quad z \in [z_-^i, z_+^i],$$

with $\Psi_0 > 0$. It then follows, via (3.84)–(3.87), that boundary conditions (3.70) and (3.71) are satisfied if and only if

$$(3.88) \quad \begin{aligned} A_n &= 0, & n &= 0, 1, 2, \dots, & B_0 &= A_i^{+'} \Psi_0^{-1}, \\ C_n &= 0, & n &= 0, 1, 2, \dots, & D_0 &= A_i^{-'} \Psi_0^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, across $X = 0$, continuity of P_0 , together with condition (3.74) is satisfied if and only if, via (3.84)–(3.88),

$$(3.89) \quad B_n = D_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Finally, it remains to satisfy condition (3.75), which requires, via (3.84)–(3.89), that

$$(3.90) \quad (A_i^{+'} - A_i^{-'}) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} B_n \psi_n(z) = \frac{-s_i(z)}{\tilde{D}_x(z)}, \quad z \in [z_-^i, z_+^i].$$

The completeness of the eigenfunctions $\psi_n(z)$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) on the interval $[z_-^i, z_+^i]$ allows (3.90) to be satisfied uniquely, with, using (3.83),

$$(3.91) \quad A_i^{+'} - A_i^{-'} = -\frac{\int_{z_-^i}^{z_+^i} s_i(s) ds}{\int_{z_-^i}^{z_+^i} \tilde{D}_x(s) ds} = -\frac{\alpha_i}{\tilde{D}_x(x_i)}$$

via (3.67) and (3.23), and which is automatically satisfied using (3.72) and (3.73), and,

$$(3.92) \quad B_k = \frac{1}{2\bar{\lambda}_k^{1/2}} \int_{z_-^i}^{z_+^i} s_i(s) \psi_k(s) ds, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus, the solution to (3.78)–(3.81), with regularity (Ii)–(Iiii), is given by

$$(3.93) \quad P_0(X, z) = \begin{cases} A_i^{+'} X + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n e^{-\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} X} \psi_n(z), & X > 0, \\ A_i^{-'} X + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n e^{\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} X} \psi_n(z), & X < 0, \end{cases}$$

with $z \in [z_-^i, z_+^i]$, and the coefficients B_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, given by (3.92). $U_0(X, z)$ and $W_0(X, z)$ are now obtained directly from (3.63) and (3.64) as

$$(3.94) \quad U_0(X, z) = \begin{cases} -\tilde{D}_x(z) \left\{ A_i^{+'} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} B_n e^{-\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} X} \psi_n(z) \right\}, & X > 0, \\ -\tilde{D}_x(z) \left\{ A_i^{-'} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} B_n e^{\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} X} \psi_n(z) \right\}, & X < 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.95) \quad W_0(X, z) = \begin{cases} -\tilde{D}_z(z) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n e^{-\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} X} \psi_n'(z), & X > 0, \\ -\tilde{D}_z(z) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n e^{\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} X} \psi_n'(z), & X < 0, \end{cases}$$

with $z \in [z_-^i, z_+^i]$. The only remaining question is how to actually compute the eigenvalues and corresponding eigenfunctions of [SL]. If \tilde{D}_x , \tilde{D}_z are constant with respect to z then analytical solution of [SL] is trivial. More generally, since [SL] is a regular Sturm-Liouville problem, numerical methods are straightforward and very efficient, and will not be discussed further here. The solution of the leading order problem is now complete.

It is of interest to obtain the expression for the pressure at the location of the i^{th} line source/sink. From (3.54) and (3.61), this is given by

$$(3.96) \quad \hat{p}(x_i, z) = A_i + \epsilon P_0(0, z) + O(\epsilon^2),$$

for $z \in [z_-^i, z_+^i]$. On using (3.93), this becomes

$$(3.97) \quad \hat{p}(x_i, z) = A_i + \epsilon \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \psi_n(z) \right) + O(\epsilon^2),$$

with $z \in [z_-^i, z_+^i]$. The pressure difference between the i^{th} and j^{th} line source/sinks is then

$$(3.98) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta \hat{p}_{ij}(z) &= \hat{p}(x_i, z) - \hat{p}(x_j, z) \\ &= (A_i - A_j) + \epsilon \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [B_n^i \psi_n^i(z) - B_n^j \psi_n^j(z)] \right) + O(\epsilon^2) \end{aligned}$$

for $z \in [z_-^i, z_+^i]$, and with superscripts i and j distinguishing evaluation at the i^{th} and j^{th} line source/sink respectively. In (3.98), we recall that

$$(3.99) \quad A_i - A_j = A(x_i) - A(x_j) = \int_{x_j}^{x_i} \frac{S(\lambda)}{D_x(\lambda)} d\lambda,$$

via (3.30).

The asymptotic structure to the solution of [SSP] as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ is now complete. Two minor extensions are worthy of consideration at this stage:

3.1. A line source/sink close to the boundary. In the above, the locations of the line source/sinks $x_i \in (-1, 1)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, are such that $(x_1 + 1)$, $(1 - x_N)$ and $x_{i+1} - x_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, N - 1$) remain positive and finite ($O(1)$) as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. In this extension we consider the situation when

$$(3.100) \quad x_1 + 1 = O(\epsilon) \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

so that the line source/sink at $x = x_1$ lies within $O(\epsilon)$ of the layer boundary at $x = -1$. The structure of the outer region to [SSP] is unchanged. However, the inner region to [SSP] at $x = x_1$ now encompasses the boundary at $x = -1$, and so the leading order problem in this inner region, when $i = 1$, is modified. To formalise this we write,

$$(3.101) \quad x_1 = -1 + \epsilon \bar{\sigma},$$

with the constant $\bar{\sigma} > 0$. In terms of the inner coordinate X ,

$$(3.102) \quad x = x_1 + \epsilon X,$$

via (3.53). Thus, via (3.101) and (3.102), in the inner region, the line source/sink is located at $X = 0$, whilst the layer boundary is located at $X = -\bar{\sigma}$. Without repeating details, the leading order problem in the inner region is now

$$(3.103) \quad U_{0X} + W_{0z} = s_i(z) \delta(X), \quad (X, z) \in D(0),$$

$$(3.104) \quad U_0 = -\tilde{D}_x(z) P_{0X}, \quad (X, z) \in D(0),$$

$$(3.105) \quad W_0 = -\tilde{D}_z(z) P_{0z}, \quad (X, z) \in D(0),$$

$$(3.106) \quad W_0(X, z_+^i) = 0, \quad X \in (-\bar{\sigma}, \infty),$$

$$(3.107) \quad W_0(X, z_-^i) = 0, \quad X \in (-\bar{\sigma}, \infty),$$

where now $\bar{D}(0)$ is the unbounded region in the (X, z) plane contained inside the coordinate lines $z = z_+^i$, $z = z_-^i$ and $X = -\bar{\sigma}$, so that

$$(3.108) \quad \bar{D}(0) = [-\bar{\sigma}, \infty) \times [z_-^i, z_+^i].$$

The leading order problem (3.103)–(3.107) is completed with the condition

$$(3.109) \quad U_0(-\bar{\sigma}, z) = 0, \quad z \in [z_-^i, z_+^i],$$

$$(3.110) \quad P_0(X, z) = A_1^+ X + o(1) \text{ as } X \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ uniformly for } z \in [z_-^i, z_+^i],$$

with the latter being the matching condition to the outer region. The solution to (3.103)–(3.110) can be constructed as before, to obtain,

$$(3.111) \quad P_0(X, z) = \begin{cases} A_1^+ X + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{B}_n e^{-\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} X} \psi_n(z), & X > 0, \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{B}_n}{\cosh(\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} \bar{\sigma})} \cosh \bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} (X + \bar{\sigma}) \psi_n(z), & -\bar{\sigma} \leq X < 0, \end{cases}$$

for $z \in [z_-^1, z_+^1]$, and with

$$(3.112) \quad \bar{B}_n = \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} (1 + \tanh(\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} \bar{\sigma}))} \int_{z_-^1}^{z_+^1} s_i(s) \psi_n(s) ds, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Equations (3.104)–(3.105) then give the corresponding expressions for $U_0(X, z)$ and $W_0(X, z)$. The pressure at the location of this first line source/sink is then given by

$$(3.113) \quad \hat{p}(x_1, z) = A_1 + \epsilon \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{B}_n \psi_n(z) \right) + O(\epsilon^2)$$

for $z \in [z_-^1, z_+^1]$, with \bar{B}_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$ as given in (3.112). The difference in the expression for pressure at the wall close line source/sink (3.113), and at the interior line source/sink (3.97), occurs in the expressions for the sequence of constants B_n (3.92) and \bar{B}_n (3.112), $n = 1, 2, \dots$

3.2. Two closely located line source/sinks. In this extension, we consider the situation when the k^{th} and $(k+1)^{\text{th}}$ line source/sinks are within $O(\epsilon)$ separation of each other. With $x_k, x_{k+1} \in (-1, 1)$, we write

$$(3.114) \quad x_{k+1} = x_k + \tilde{\sigma}\epsilon,$$

with the constant $\tilde{\sigma} > 0$. In terms of the inner coordinate X ,

$$(3.115) \quad x = x_k + \epsilon X,$$

via (3.53). Thus both line source/sinks at $x = x_k$ and $x = x_{k+1}$ are located in the inner region at $x = x_k$, with their respective locations in this inner region being at $X = 0$ and $X = \tilde{\sigma}$. Without repeating details, the leading order problem in the inner region is now

$$(3.116) \quad U_{0X} + W_{0z} = s_k(z) \delta(X) + s_{k+1}(z) \delta(X - \tilde{\sigma}), \quad (X, z) \in D(0),$$

$$(3.117) \quad U_0 = -\tilde{D}_x(z) P_{0X}, \quad (X, z) \in D(0),$$

$$(3.118) \quad W_0 = -\tilde{D}_z(z) P_{0z}, \quad (X, z) \in D(0),$$

$$(3.119) \quad W_0(X, z_+^k) = 0, \quad X \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

$$(3.120) \quad W_0(X, z_-^k) = 0, \quad X \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

$$(3.121) \quad P_0(X, z) = \begin{cases} \tilde{\sigma} A_{k+1}^- ' + A_{k+1}^+ '(X - \tilde{\sigma}) + o(1), & X \rightarrow +\infty, \\ A_k^- ' X + o(1), & X \rightarrow -\infty, \end{cases}$$

uniformly for $z \in [z_-^k, z_+^k]$,

with $\bar{D}(0)$ as in (3.69) when $i = k$, and condition (3.121) being the appropriate matching condition to the outer region. The solution to (3.116)–(3.121) can be constructed as before, to obtain,

$$(3.122) \quad P_0(X, z) = \begin{cases} A_k^- ' X + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{B}_n e^{\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} X} \psi_n(z), & X < 0, \\ A_{k+1}^- ' X + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\hat{B}_n \cosh(\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} X) + \hat{D}_n \sinh(\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} X)) \psi_n(z), & 0 < X < \tilde{\sigma}, \\ \{\tilde{\sigma} A_{k+1}^- ' + A_{k+1}^+ '(X - \tilde{\sigma})\} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{C}_n e^{-\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2}(X - \tilde{\sigma})} \psi_n(z), & X > \tilde{\sigma}, \end{cases}$$

with $z \in [z_-^k, z_+^k]$, and the coefficients,

$$(3.123) \quad \hat{B}_n = \frac{1}{2\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{[\cosh(\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} \tilde{\sigma}) + \sinh(\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} \tilde{\sigma})]} \int_{z_-^k}^{z_+^k} s_{k+1}(s) \psi_n(s) ds + \int_{z_-^k}^{z_+^k} s_k(s) \psi_n(s) ds \right\},$$

$$(3.124) \quad \hat{D}_n = \frac{1}{2\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{[\cosh(\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} \tilde{\sigma}) + \sinh(\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} \tilde{\sigma})]} \int_{z_-^k}^{z_+^k} s_{k+1}(s) \psi_n(s) ds - \int_{z_-^k}^{z_+^k} s_k(s) \psi_n(s) ds \right\},$$

$$(3.125) \quad \hat{C}_n = \frac{1}{2\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2}} \left\{ \int_{z_-^k}^{z_+^k} s_{k+1}(s) \psi_n(s) ds + [\cosh(\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} \tilde{\sigma}) - \sinh(\bar{\lambda}_n^{1/2} \tilde{\sigma})] \int_{z_-^k}^{z_+^k} s_k(s) \psi_n(s) ds \right\}.$$

Equations (3.117)–(3.118) then give the corresponding expressions for $W_0(X, z)$ and $U_0(X, z)$ (note that $\bar{D}_x(z)$ and $\bar{D}_z(z)$ in (3.117), (3.118) are evaluated at $x = x_k$). The pressures at the line source/sinks at $x = x_k$ and $x = x_{k+1}$ are given by, via (3.122),

$$\hat{p}(x_k, z) = A_k + \epsilon \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{B}_n \psi_n(z) \right) + O(\epsilon^2)$$

$$\hat{p}(x_{k+1}, z) = A_k + \epsilon \left(\tilde{\sigma} A_{k+1}^- ' + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{C}_n \psi_n(z) \right) + O(\epsilon^2)$$

with \hat{B}_n and \hat{C}_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$ as given in (3.123) and (3.125) (note in the above that $A_k + \epsilon \tilde{\sigma} A_{k+1}^- ' = A_k + \epsilon \tilde{\sigma} A_k^+ ' + O(\epsilon^2) = A_{k+1} + O(\epsilon^2)$).

The asymptotic solution to [SSP] as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, uniformly for $(x, z) \in \bar{M}'$ is now complete. We now turn our attention to the eigenvalue problem [EVP].

4. Asymptotic solution to the eigenvalue problem [EVP] as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. In this section we develop the asymptotic solution to the eigenvalue problem [EVP] as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. We can first employ the theory developed by Ramm [14] to establish that the set of eigenvalues to [EVP], (2.64), with $\epsilon > 0$, splits into two disjoint subsets as

$\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, which we will denote by

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} S_+ &= \{\lambda_1^+(\epsilon), \lambda_2^+(\epsilon), \lambda_3^+(\epsilon), \dots\}, \\ S_- &= \{\lambda_0^-(\epsilon), \lambda_1^-(\epsilon), \lambda_2^-(\epsilon), \dots\}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \lambda_0^-(\epsilon) < \lambda_1^-(\epsilon) < \dots, \\ 0 &< \lambda_1^+(\epsilon) < \lambda_2^+(\epsilon) < \dots, \end{aligned}$$

and, in particular,

$$(4.3) \quad \lambda_n^-(\epsilon) = O(n^2), \quad \lambda_n^+(\epsilon) = O(n^2\epsilon^{-2})$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, uniformly for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We will focus attention on the eigenvalues and corresponding eigenfunctions in the set S_- , so that in [EVP], we have,

$$(4.4) \quad \lambda(\epsilon) = O(1) \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0^+,$$

via (4.3). Thus we expand $\phi : \bar{M}' \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ in the form,

$$(4.5) \quad \phi(x, z; \epsilon) = \tilde{\phi}(x, z) + \epsilon^2 \hat{\phi}(x, z) + o(\epsilon^2) \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0^+,$$

uniformly for $(x, z) \in \bar{M}'$, whilst we expand

$$(4.6) \quad \lambda(\epsilon) = \tilde{\lambda} + \epsilon^2 \hat{\lambda} + o(\epsilon^2) \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0^+.$$

On substitution from (4.5) and (4.6) into [EVP], we obtain the leading order problem as,

$$(4.7) \quad \left(D_z(x, z) \tilde{\phi}_z \right)_z = 0, \quad (x, z) \in M',$$

$$(4.8) \quad \tilde{\phi}_x(-1, z) = 0, \quad z \in [z_-(-1), z_+(-1)],$$

$$(4.9) \quad \tilde{\phi}_x(1, z) = 0, \quad z \in [z_-(1), z_+(1)],$$

$$(4.10) \quad \tilde{\phi}_z(x, z_+(x)) = 0, \quad x \in (-1, 1),$$

$$(4.11) \quad \tilde{\phi}_z(x, z_-(x)) = 0, \quad x \in (-1, 1).$$

A direct integration of (4.7) gives

$$(4.12) \quad \tilde{\phi}_z(x, z) = \frac{\tilde{B}(x)}{D_z(x, z)}, \quad (x, z) \in \bar{M}',$$

whilst conditions (4.10) and (4.11) require $\tilde{B}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in [-1, 1]$. Hence, from (4.12),

$$(4.13) \quad \tilde{\phi}(x, z) = \tilde{A}(x), \quad (x, z) \in \bar{M}',$$

with $\tilde{A} : [-1, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{A} \in C^1([-1, 1]) \cap C^2((-1, 1))$. Conditions (4.8) and (4.9) then require

$$(4.14) \quad \tilde{A}'(-1) = \tilde{A}'(1) = 0.$$

At $O(\epsilon^2)$ we obtain the problem

$$(4.15) \quad \left(D_z(x, z) \hat{\phi}_z \right)_z = -\tilde{\lambda} \tilde{A}(x) - \left(D_x(x, z) \tilde{A}'(x) \right)_x, \quad (x, z) \in M',$$

$$(4.16) \quad \hat{\phi}_x(-1, z) = 0, \quad z \in [z_-(-1), z_+(-1)],$$

$$(4.17) \quad \hat{\phi}_x(1, z) = 0, \quad z \in [z_-(1), z_+(1)],$$

$$(4.18) \quad D_z(x, z_+(x)) \hat{\phi}_z(x, z_+(x)) = z'_+(x) D_x(x, z_+(x)) \tilde{A}'(x), \quad x \in (-1, 1),$$

$$(4.19) \quad D_z(x, z_-(x)) \hat{\phi}_z(x, z_-(x)) = z'_-(x) D_x(x, z_-(x)) \tilde{A}'(x), \quad x \in (-1, 1).$$

The solvability requirement on the inhomogeneous boundary value problem (4.15)–(4.19) will provide the ordinary differential equation which must be satisfied by $\tilde{A}(x)$, $x \in (-1, 1)$. On integrating (4.15) with respect to z (with $x \in (-1, 1)$ fixed) between $z = z_-(x)$ and $z = z_+(x)$, we obtain,

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & D_z(x, z_+(x)) \hat{\phi}_z(x, z_+(x)) - D_z(x, z_-(x)) \hat{\phi}_z(x, z_-(x)) = \\ & -\tilde{\lambda} \tilde{A}(x)(z_+(x) - z_-(x)) - (\bar{D}_x(x) \tilde{A}'(x))' \\ & + z'_+(x) D_x(x, z_+(x)) \tilde{A}'(x) - z'_-(x) D_x(x, z_-(x)) \tilde{A}'(x), \text{ for all } x \in (-1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

We next substitute into the left-hand side of (4.20) from the boundary conditions (4.18) and (4.19), which, after cancellation, results in the ordinary differential equation,

$$(4.21) \quad (\bar{D}_x(x) \tilde{A}'(x))' + \tilde{\lambda}(z_+(x) - z_-(x)) \tilde{A}(x) = 0, \quad x \in (-1, 1).$$

Thus $\tilde{A} : [-1, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the regular Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem,

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{D}_x(x) \tilde{A}'(x))' + \tilde{\lambda} h(x) \tilde{A}(x) &= 0, \quad x \in (-1, 1), & [\text{SLP}] \\ \tilde{A}'(-1) &= \tilde{A}'(1) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

with $\bar{D}_x(x)$ as defined in (3.23) and

$$(4.22) \quad h(x) = z_+(x) - z_-(x), \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

Now, the classical Sturm-Liouville theory (see for example [4, chapters 7,8]) determines that the set of eigenvalues of [SLP] is given by $\tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{\lambda}_r$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, with,

$$(4.23) \quad 0 = \tilde{\lambda}_0 < \tilde{\lambda}_1 < \tilde{\lambda}_2 < \dots$$

and

$$(4.24) \quad \tilde{\lambda}_r = O(r^2) \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

We remark also that [SLP] is identical in structure to the eigenvalue problem [SL] considered in §3. Corresponding to each eigenvalue $\tilde{\lambda}_r$ ($r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), there is a unique normalised eigenfunction $\tilde{A}_r : [-1, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$(4.25) \quad \int_{-1}^1 h(x) \tilde{A}_i(x) \tilde{A}_j(x) dx = \delta_{ij},$$

for $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. We note that

$$(4.26) \quad \tilde{A}_0(x) = \left\{ \int_{-1}^1 h(s) ds \right\}^{-1/2} = (\text{meas}(\bar{M}'))^{-1/2}, \quad \text{for all } x \in [-1, 1].$$

Thus, we have established for [EVP], via (4.5), (4.6), (4.13), that,

$$(4.27) \quad \lambda_r^-(\epsilon) = \tilde{\lambda}_r + O(\epsilon^2), \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

uniformly for $r = 1, 2, \dots$, with corresponding normalised eigenfunction

$$(4.28) \quad \phi_r^-(x, z; \epsilon) = \tilde{A}_r(x) + O(\epsilon^2), \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

uniformly for $(x, z) \in \bar{M}'$.

We can now use the above theory to obtain the following expression for $\tilde{p} : \bar{M}' \times [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, via (2.68) and (2.69),

$$(4.29) \quad \tilde{p}(x, z, t) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} c_r e^{-\tilde{\lambda}_r t} \tilde{A}_r(x) + O(\epsilon^2 e^{-\tilde{\lambda}_1 t}, e^{-t/\epsilon^2}) \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

uniformly for $(x, z, t) \in \bar{M}' \times [\delta, \infty)$, for any $\delta > 0$. Here the coefficients c_r , $r = 1, 2, \dots$, are given by

$$(4.30) \quad c_r = \int \int_{\bar{M}'} \tilde{p}_0(u, v) \tilde{A}_r(u) du dv, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots$$

We observe from (4.29) that,

$$(4.31) \quad \tilde{p}(x, z, t) \sim (c_1 \tilde{A}_1(x) + O(\epsilon^2)) e^{-\tilde{\lambda}_1 t}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for $(x, z) \in \bar{M}'$. Thus, the solution to [IBVP] approaches the solution to [SSP] as $t \rightarrow \infty$ through terms exponentially small in t as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In dimensionless variables, the time scale for relaxation to the steady state is therefore

$$(4.32) \quad t_s \sim (\tilde{\lambda}_1)^{-1},$$

which, via (2.22) (recalling $\tau = t/\sigma$ in (2.22)) gives the dimensional relaxation time scale as

$$(4.33) \quad t_s^d \sim \frac{c_t l^2}{D_0} (\tilde{\lambda}_1)^{-1}.$$

5. The case of disparate permeabilities. In the previous sections, the theory has been developed for the situation when the permeability scale is comparable in both the x -direction and the z -direction. In some applications, this is not always the case, when the permeability in the z -direction is much weaker than that in the x -direction. In this case (2.6) should be replaced by

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} D_0^x D_x \left(\frac{x}{l}, \frac{z}{h} \right) &\geq D_m > 0, \\ D_0^z D_z \left(\frac{x}{l}, \frac{z}{h} \right) &\geq D_m > 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $(x, z) \in \bar{M}$, with $D_0^x > 0$ being the permeability scale in the x -direction and $D_0^z > 0$ being the permeability scale in the z -direction, and now

$$(5.2) \quad 0 < \delta = \frac{D_0^z}{D_0^x} \ll 1.$$

We now follow the same non-dimensionalisation as before, via (2.22), with D_0^x replacing D_0 . The resulting full dimensionless problem is identical to [IBVP], except that now ϵ is replaced by $\tilde{\epsilon}$, where

$$(5.3) \quad \tilde{\epsilon} = \epsilon \delta^{-1/2}.$$

Thus all of the previous theory carries over to this situation, simply on replacing ϵ by $\tilde{\epsilon}$. In particular, the asymptotic theory as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ is now replaced by $\tilde{\epsilon} \rightarrow 0$, and so requires,

$$(5.4) \quad 0 < \tilde{\epsilon} \ll 1.$$

which, with (5.2), is equivalent to

$$(5.5) \quad 0 < \epsilon \ll \delta^{1/2} \ll 1.$$

It is worth noting here, that for porous layers in which $\epsilon = O(1)$ and $\delta \gg 1$, then

$$(5.6) \quad \tilde{\epsilon} = \epsilon \delta^{-1/2} \ll 1$$

and so (5.4) is again satisfied, and the asymptotic theory developed before is again applicable.

6. The pseudo-steady state. In this section we consider the situation when the specified flux constants α_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, do not satisfy the condition (2.52). That is, when

$$(6.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = \alpha_T \neq 0.$$

In this case we introduce an associated pseudo-steady state problem to [IBVP]. This corresponds to the steady state problem [SSP] ((2.44)–(2.51)), except that now equation (2.44) is modified to

$$(6.2) \quad \hat{u}_x + \hat{w}_z = \sum_{i=1}^N s_i(z) \delta(x - x_i) - \tilde{\alpha}_T, \quad (x, z) \in M',$$

with the constant $\tilde{\alpha}_T$ given by

$$(6.3) \quad \tilde{\alpha}_T = \frac{\alpha_T}{\text{meas}(\bar{M}')}.$$

The result corresponding to Theorem 2.2 is now, with the pseudo-steady state problem referred to as [PSSP],

THEOREM 6.1. *For each $\epsilon > 0$, [PSSP] has a unique (up to addition of a constant in \hat{p}) solution $\hat{u}, \hat{w}, \hat{p} : \bar{M}' \mapsto \mathbb{R}$.*

Again, we will fix the indeterminate constant in [PSSP] to be that pseudo-steady state which satisfies the condition (2.62). The result corresponding to Theorem 2.3 is now:

THEOREM 6.2. *For each $\epsilon > 0$, [IBVP] has a unique solution $u, w, \bar{p} : \bar{M}' \times [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ given by*

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{p}(x, z, t) &= \tilde{\alpha}_T t + \hat{p}(x, z) + \tilde{p}(x, z, t), \\ u(x, z, t) &= \hat{u}(x, z) - D_x(x, z) \tilde{p}_x(x, z, t), \\ w(x, z, t) &= \hat{w}(x, z) - \epsilon^{-2} D_z(x, z) \tilde{p}_z(x, z, t), \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x, z) \in \bar{M}'$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$. Here $\tilde{p} : \bar{M}' \times [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is given by (2.68), (2.69) and $\hat{u}, \hat{w}, \hat{p} : \bar{M}' \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is that solution to [PSSP] which satisfies the constraint

$$(6.5) \quad \int \int_{\bar{M}'} \hat{p}(x, z) dx dz = \int \int_{\bar{M}'} \bar{p}_0(x, z) dx dz.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{p}(x, z, t) &= \tilde{\alpha}_T t + \hat{p}(x, z) + O(e^{-\lambda_1(\epsilon)t}), \\ u(x, z, t) &= \hat{u}(x, z) + O(e^{-\lambda_1(\epsilon)t}), \\ w(x, z, t) &= \hat{w}(x, z) + O(e^{-\lambda_1(\epsilon)t}),\end{aligned}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for $(x, z) \in \bar{M}'$.

We now explore the structure of the solution to [PSSP] as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. This involves only minor adjustments to the structure developed in §3 for the solution to [SSP]. Again the outer region asymptotic expansions are given by

$$(6.6) \quad \hat{u}(x, z; \epsilon) = \frac{-D_x(x, z)}{\bar{D}_x(x)} \{S(x) + \tilde{\alpha}_T H(x)\} + O(\epsilon^2),$$

$$(6.7) \quad \hat{w}(x, z; \epsilon) = S(x) \int_{z_-(x)}^z \left\{ \frac{D_x(x, \lambda)}{\bar{D}_x(x)} \right\}_x d\lambda \\ - \frac{z'_-(x) D_x(x, z_-(x)) S(x)}{\bar{D}_x(x)} - \tilde{\alpha}_T (z - z_-(x)) + O(\epsilon^2),$$

$$(6.8) \quad \hat{p}(x, z; \epsilon) = A(x) + O(\epsilon^2),$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, uniformly for $(x, z) \in \bar{N}'_\epsilon$. Here, as before, $S : [-1, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is as given by (3.31), but now $A : [-1, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is the unique solution to the linear, inhomogeneous boundary value problem,

$$(6.9) \quad [\bar{D}_x(x) A'(x)]' = - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \delta(x - x_i) + \tilde{\alpha}_T (z_+(x) - z_-(x)), \quad x \in (-1, 1),$$

$$(6.10) \quad A'(-1) = A'(1) = 0,$$

$$(6.11) \quad \int_{-1}^1 (z_+(x) - z_-(x)) A(x) dx = I_0,$$

and with $H : [-1, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(6.12) \quad H(x) = \int_{-1}^x (z_+(\lambda) - z_-(\lambda)) d\lambda, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

The solution to (6.9)–(6.11) is readily obtained as

$$(6.13) \quad A(x) = \int_{-1}^x \frac{[S(\lambda) + \tilde{\alpha}_T H(\lambda)]}{\bar{D}_x(\lambda)} d\lambda + A_0, \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

with the constant A_0 given by

$$(6.14) \quad A_0 = \frac{I_0}{\text{meas}(\bar{M}')} - \frac{1}{\text{meas}(\bar{M}')} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{[S(\lambda) + \tilde{\alpha}_T H(\lambda)]}{\bar{D}_x(\lambda)} \text{meas}(\bar{M}'(\lambda)) d\lambda.$$

Thus we now have,

$$(6.15) \quad A_i = A(x_i) = \int_{-1}^{x_i} \frac{[S(\lambda) + \tilde{\alpha}_T H(\lambda)]}{\bar{D}_x(\lambda)} d\lambda + A_0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

and

$$(6.16) \quad A_i^{+'} = A'(x_i^+) = -\frac{\sum_{j=0}^i \alpha_j}{D_x(x_i)} + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_T H(x_i)}{\bar{D}_x(x_i)},$$

$$(6.17) \quad A_i^{-'} = A'(x_i^-) = -\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \alpha_j}{D_x(x_i)} + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_T H(x_i)}{\bar{D}_x(x_i)},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, N$. The details of the inner regions are precisely as before in §3, but now A_i and $A_i^{\pm'}$ are given by (6.15)–(6.17). The modifications are now complete.

7. An example. We finally apply the theory developed in the previous sections to a simple situation. We consider the porous layer to be rectangular, so that,

$$(7.1) \quad z_{\pm}(x) = \pm \frac{1}{2}, \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

and the permeability of the layer in the x -direction is independent of x , so that,

$$(7.2) \quad D_x(x, z) = D_x(z), \quad (x, z) \in \bar{M}'.$$

Thus,

$$(7.3) \quad \bar{D}_x(x) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} D_x(z) dz = \bar{D}_x (> 0), \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

with \bar{D}_x a constant. We include a single source/sink at

$$(7.4) \quad x = x_1 = x_s \in (-1, 1),$$

with normalized flux constant

$$(7.5) \quad \alpha = \alpha_1 = \alpha_s = \pm 1,$$

with -1 for extraction and $+1$ for injection. We take the initial pressure field to be uniform, so that,

$$(7.6) \quad \bar{p}_0(x, z) = \bar{p}_0, \quad (x, z) \in \bar{M}',$$

with \bar{p}_0 a constant. The pressure field in the porous layer is then given by,

$$(7.7) \quad \bar{p}(x, z, t; \epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_s t + A(x) + c_1 \tilde{A}_1(x) e^{-\tilde{\lambda}_1 t} + O(\epsilon, e^{-\tilde{\lambda}_2 t}, \epsilon^2 e^{-\tilde{\lambda}_1 t}, e^{-t/\epsilon^2}),$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, uniformly for $(x, z) \in \bar{M}'$, via Theorem 6.2, together with (6.8), (3.54), (3.61) and (4.29)–(4.31). It follows from (4.21)–(4.25), that

$$(7.8) \quad \tilde{\lambda}_1 = \frac{1}{4} \bar{D}_x \pi^2, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_2 = \bar{D}_x \pi^2,$$

and,

$$(7.9) \quad \tilde{A}_1(x) = \cos \frac{1}{2} \pi (x + 1), \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

with, via (4.30), (7.6) and (6.8),

$$(7.10) \quad c_1 = - \int_{-1}^1 A(\lambda) \cos \frac{1}{2}\pi(\lambda + 1) d\lambda.$$

It now follows from (6.9)–(6.14), that

$$(7.11) \quad A(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha_s}{4\bar{D}_x}(x+1)^2 + A_0, & x \in [-1, x_s], \\ \frac{\alpha_s}{4\bar{D}_x}(x+1)^2 - \frac{\alpha_s}{\bar{D}_x}(x-x_s) + A_0, & x \in [x_s, 1], \end{cases}$$

with

$$(7.12) \quad A_0 = \bar{p}_0 - \frac{\alpha_s}{12\bar{D}_x}(1 + 6x_s - 3x_s^2).$$

The pressure at the line source/sink is thus

$$(7.13) \quad \bar{p}(x_s, z, t; \epsilon) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_s t + A(x_s) + c_1 \tilde{A}_1(x_s) e^{-\tilde{\lambda}_1 t} + O(\epsilon, e^{-\tilde{\lambda}_2 t}, \epsilon^2 e^{-\tilde{\lambda}_1 t}, e^{-t/\epsilon^2}),$$

from which it follows, via (7.8)–(7.12), that

$$(7.14) \quad \bar{p}(x_s, z, t; \epsilon) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_s t + \bar{p}_0 + \frac{\alpha_s}{6\bar{D}_x}(1 + 3x_s^2) + c_1 e^{-\frac{\bar{D}_x \pi^2}{4} t} \cos \frac{1}{2}\pi(x_s + 1) \\ + O(\epsilon, e^{-\bar{D}_x \pi^2 t}, \epsilon^2 e^{-\frac{\bar{D}_x \pi^2}{4} t}, e^{-t/\epsilon^2}),$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, uniformly for $z \in [-1/2, 1/2]$. To obtain the correction at $O(\epsilon)$ to (7.7), we note that a composite expansion must first be obtained using the inner and outer expansions for \bar{p} , but this is not necessary at leading order. As $t \rightarrow \infty$, (7.14) gives

$$(7.15) \quad \bar{p}(x_s, z, t; \epsilon) \sim \bar{p}_0 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_s \left[t + \frac{1}{3\bar{D}_x}(1 + 3x_s^2) \right].$$

Finally, denoting the dimensionless atmospheric pressure by \bar{p}_a , then with the initial layer pressure $\bar{p}_0 \gg \bar{p}_a$, we may use (7.15) to obtain the time span during which the single well is self-producing at the specified extraction rate. With an extraction well, $\alpha_s = -1$ in (7.15) and the time limit of self-production is $t = t_c$, where

$$(7.16) \quad \bar{p}(x_s, z, t_c; \epsilon) = \bar{p}_a.$$

On using (7.15) in (7.16) we obtain,

$$(7.17) \quad t_c = 2(\bar{p}_0 - \bar{p}_a) - \frac{1}{3\bar{D}_x}(1 + 3x_s^2).$$

It follows immediately from (7.17) that t_c is optimized by locating the extraction well at $x = x_s = 0$, that is, at the centre of the porous layer as should be expected due to the symmetry in this simple example. The point is that in less symmetrical examples, optimization can be achieved with little more effort through the corresponding version of (7.17), which is still readily available. In dimensional terms, (7.17) becomes, via (2.22),

$$t_c^d = \frac{ac_t}{Q}(p_0^d - p_a) - \frac{hc_t}{3\bar{D}_x^d}(l^2 + 3x_s^{d2}),$$

with t_c^d the dimensional self-extraction time, $a = 2hl$ the cross-sectional area of the porous layer, Q the volumetric extraction rate per unit width, p_0^d the dimensional initial layer pressure, p_a dimensional atmospheric pressure, \bar{D}_x^d the dimensional depth integrated permeability in the x -direction and x_s^d the dimensional location of the extraction well.

8. Conclusions. In this paper we have considered the unsteady flow of a weakly compressible fluid in a horizontal layer of an inhomogeneous and anisotropic porous medium with variable upper and lower boundaries, in the presence of line sources and sinks. We have derived a strongly parabolic linear initial boundary value problem for the dynamic fluid pressure, and shown that this problem has a unique solution. We have then constructed the solution to this problem when the layer aspect ratio $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, via the method of matched asymptotic expansions. First, we have derived a matched asymptotic solution to the steady state problem, under the constraint that the sum of the total volume fluxes at the wells is zero (this constraint is removed in §6, leading to a pseudo-steady state problem whose solution is almost identical in structure). In the outer region this has been constructed directly, with the solution given by (3.47)–(3.49). In the inner region the solution is given by (3.92)–(3.95), together with (3.54) and (3.61). This solution is written in terms of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a regular Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem [SL], which can be solved analytically in the case that the permeability at each line source/sink is constant in the vertical direction, but whose numerical solution is straightforward in the more general case. The pressure at any line source or sink is then given by (3.97).

By subtracting the solution of the steady state problem from the solution of the initial value problem we have then constructed a strongly parabolic homogeneous problem with no discontinuities across the line sources and sinks, whose solution can be written in terms of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of a regular self-adjoint eigenvalue problem. Asymptotic solution of this reduces to solution of a regular Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem identical in structure to [SL]. It has further been shown, via (4.29)–(4.31) that the solution of the initial value problem approaches the solution to the steady state problem through terms exponentially small with respect to time t as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Generalisations to cases where a line source or sink is near a boundary wall, where line sources and sinks are not well spaced, and to the case of disparate permeabilities have also been considered, in §3.1, §3.2 and §5 respectively. An example demonstrating an application of the theory to a simple situation is provided in §7.

We finally remark that since the initial boundary value problem is solved for a general C^1 initial condition, the effect of time dependent transient effects due to temporal changes in the well discharge rates can easily be accounted for.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.-J. A. AL-KHALIFAH, K. AZIZ, AND R. N. HORNE, *A new approach to multiphase well test analysis*, Society of Petroleum Engineers, 16743 (1987).
- [2] G. S. BUSSWELL, R. BANERJEE, R. K. M. THAMBYNAYAGAM, AND J. B. SPATH, *Generalized analytical solution for reservoir problems with multiple wells and boundary conditions*, Society of Petroleum Engineers, 99288 (2006).
- [3] H. CINCO-LEY, F. SAMANIEGO-V, AND A. N. DOMINGUEZ, *Transient pressure behavior for a well with a finite-conductivity vertical fracture*, Society of Petroleum Engineers Journal, 18 (1978), pp. 253–264.
- [4] E. A. CODDINGTON AND N. LEVINSON, *Theory of ordinary differential equations*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1955.
- [5] A. FRIEDMAN, *Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1964.
- [6] J. P. GILCHRIST, G. S. BUSSWELL, R. BANERJEE, J. B. SPATH, AND R. K. M. THAMBYNAYAGAM, *Semi-analytical solution for multiple layer problems with multiple vertical, horizontal, deviated and fractured wells*, Society of Petroleum Engineers, (2007). To appear.
- [7] E. GOMES AND Z. A. REZA, *A new semi-analytic pressure transient model for layered gas reservoir under various reservoir and well conditions*, Society of Petroleum Engineers,

- 39526 (1998).
- [8] A. GRINGARTEN AND H. J. RAMEY JR, *The use of source and Green's functions in solving unsteady-flow problems in reservoirs*, Society of Petroleum Engineers Journal, 13 (1973), pp. 285–296.
 - [9] D. J. NEEDHAM AND S. LANGDON, *The unsteady flow of a weakly compressible fluid in a thin porous layer. II: Three-dimensional theory*. In preparation, 2007.
 - [10] M. OGUZTORELI AND D. W. WONG, *Vertex: A new modeling method to direct field development*, Society of Petroleum Engineers, 39806 (1998).
 - [11] E. OZKHAN AND R. RAGHAVAN, *Well test analysis problems: Part 1 - analytical considerations*, Society of Petroleum Engineers, 18615 (1991).
 - [12] R. PECHER, S. D. HARRIS, R. J. KNIPE, L. ELLIOT, AND D. B. INGHAM, *New formulation of the Green element method to maintain its second order accuracy in 2D/3D*, Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements, 25 (2001), pp. 211–219.
 - [13] R. RAGHAVAN, *Well test analysis: Wells producing by solution gas drive*, Society of Petroleum Engineers, 5588 (1975).
 - [14] A. G. RAMM, *Limit spectra of the interior Neumann problems when a solid domain shrinks to a plane one*, J. Math. Anal. Applic., 108 (1985), pp. 107–112.
 - [15] J. SMOLLER, *Shock waves and reaction-diffusion equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
 - [16] R. K. M. THAMBYNAYAGAM, *Diffusion: A Compendium of Analytical Solutions*. In preparation.
 - [17] L. G. THOMPSON, J. L. MANRIQUE, AND T. A. JELMERI, *Efficient algorithms for computing the bounded reservoir horizontal well pressure response*, Society of Petroleum Engineers, 21827 (1991).
 - [18] M. VAN DYKE, *Perturbation methods in fluid mechanics*, The Parabolic Press, Stanford, California, 1975.